

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/748,567	12/30/2003	Micah T. Somers	58690US002	8084	
32692 7	09/09/2004		EXAMINER		
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427			SELLS, JAMES D		
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1734		
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					(	1 .
		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	1-	T
		10/748,56	7	SOMERS ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		James Se		1734		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress	
A SH THE   - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Is sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, are period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. a reply within the statu period will apply and wil statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) day: I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. mmunication.	
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is no	on-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	-	·		merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from cor		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Applicati	on Papers					ŧ
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐	accepted or b)[	objected to by the E	Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by th	•				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Busiee the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have beer ments have beer priority docume ureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage	
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI r No(s)/Mail Date 4-29-04.		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	o-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/748,567

Art Unit: 1734

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-20 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,742,562. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all the limitations of the present claims 1-20 are contained within or made obvious by claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,742,562.

## Specification

3. Applicant is requested to update the patent applications cited in the specification at page 1, line 24, and page 3, lines 11 and 32.

#### Telephone/Fax

Application/Control Number: 10/748,567

Art Unit: 1734

Page 3

examiner should be directed to James Sells whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1237. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9:30 AM and

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

6:00 PM.

4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached at (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

JAMES SELLS PRIMARY EXAMINER TECH. CENTER 1700